

The motions recorded in **SRD 826/24 through 829/24** represent the successful final adoption of **Bylaw No. 579**, a site-specific rezoning for the property at **3809 Woodland Drive**. This file serves as a primary example of your **"Forward"** platform's commitment to **functional, data-backed governance**, where you successfully navigated new provincial mandates to deliver property flexibility for local residents .

1. Technical Purpose: Facilitating Responsible Infill

The application, submitted by **Jesse and Miranda May**, sought to reconfigure a **2.0-hectare (4.94-acre)** parcel to support a future subdivision.

- **The Transition:** The bylaw rezones the land from **Country Residential Four (CR-4)** to **Country Residential Two (CR-2)**.
- **The Outcome:** This change allows the single large parcel to be split into two distinct lots of approximately **1.58 hectares** and **0.42 hectares**.
- **Infrastructure Ready:** The site is already developed with **two single-family dwellings**, each independently serviced by its own existing well and septic system, making it an ideal candidate for "responsible infill" that does not strain regional utilities.

2. Legislative Context: Navigating Bill 44

Bylaw No. 579 was processed under the modernized rules of the **Housing Statutes (Residential Development) Amendment Act 2023 (Bill 44)**.

- **Public Hearing Prohibition:** Because the proposal was purely residential and strictly aligned with the **2023 Oyster Bay-Buttle Lake OCP**, the Board was **legally prohibited** from holding a public hearing.
- **Streamlined Adoption:** This provincial mandate allowed the Board to move from first reading to final adoption in a single session, significantly reducing the administrative time and cost for the applicants.
- **Professional Endorsement:** The proposal was formally supported by the **Electoral Area D Advisory Planning Commission (APC)** and faced no objections from the Agricultural Land Commission (ALC) or local First Nations.

3. Governance Dynamics: "Forward" Through Collaboration

The procedural handling of these motions is a notable record of **board stability** during a term otherwise defined by political polarization:

- **Cross-Block Partnerships:** You (**Director John Rice**) moved all four motions. The receipt of the report was seconded by **Director Gerald Whalley**, and the subsequent readings and final adoption were seconded by **Director Mark Vonesch** Query.
- **Restoring Order:** This unanimous procedural alignment occurred after the **"hot mic" scandal** and the **vice-chair ouster**, proving that when the Board follows the **Bylaw No. 544 Procedure rules** and adheres to professional staff recommendations, it can effectively move Area D business forward.

In summary, carrying motions **SRD 826/24 to 829/24** documents your ability to maintain **administrative continuity** and deliver tangible results for Electoral Area D property owners through professional, team-oriented leadership.